## United States Bankruptcy Court Western District of Wisconsin

Cite as: [unpublished]

## Starfire, Inc., Plaintiff v. John M. Steiner, Defendant

(In re Steiner, Debtor) Bankruptcy Case No. 08-15859-7 Adv. Case No. 09-30-7

United States Bankruptcy Court W.D. Wisconsin, Madison Division

May 2, 2011

Bruce O'Neill, Milwaukee, WI, for the plaintiff. Richard Jacobson, Madison, WI, for the defendant.

Robert D. Martin, United States Bankruptcy Judge

## MEMORANDUM DECISION

This matter was remanded from the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin on April 1, 2011, with instruction to make additional findings of fact. After reviewing the decision of the district court, and the record of the trial in this adversary proceeding, I find that:

On September 10, 2009, I ruled from the bench that Starfire, Inc. ("Starfire") had established its claim for nondischargeability under § 523(a)(4), and that the defendant had committed defalcation while acting in a fiduciary capacity when he violated WIS.STAT. § 779.02(5)—*Theft by Contractors* provision. The district court vacated the judgment and remanded the case to this court "for further factual findings only on whether Steiner acted with something more than negligence to constitute defalcation under the bankruptcy code." The district court affirmed all other findings.

My review of the record has refreshed my recollection of the weight and credibility I had assigned to the testimony recorded. The defendant was a president of Quality Fire Protection ("Quality"), which was organized as a corporation under Wisconsin law. Quality, under the defendant's control, conducted a sophisticated business. At the time the defendant filed bankruptcy, Starfire had supplied incorporated products and billed Quality for a total of \$262,503.43 on 42 jobs. On several of those jobs, Quality had been paid for the work performed but failed to remit to Starfire any of the proceeds received. The defendant's sophistication of the construction industry and the sheer number of jobs in which Quality was engaged, allows me to infer that the defendant knew, or should have known of his duties to Quality under WIS.STAT. § 779.02(5) and that he proceeded in the face of that knowledge to use the funds held in statutory trust for the benefit of others. His actions were more than negligence. The defendant possessed the level of intent required to establish "defalcation" under § 523(a)(4).

This finding supports my prior conclusion that Starfire met its burden on all elements required under 523(a)(4). Starfire's claim is therefore nondischargeable.